

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff, Counter-defendant,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant, Counterclaimant.

Case No. 4:20-CV-05640-YGR-TSH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING EPIC
GAMES, INC.'S MOTION TO ENFORCE
INJUNCTION**

Courtroom: 1, 4th Floor

Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

1 The Court, having considered the evidence and arguments presented to it with
2 respect to Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Epic Games, Inc.’s (“Epic”) Motion to Enforce
3 Injunction (“Motion”), dated May 16, 2025, and consistent with the Court’s opinion on the
4 Motion issued herewith, **HEREBY ORDERS** as follows:

5 1. By refusing to consider Epic’s *Fortnite* submission, and stating that Apple
6 “has determined not to take action on the Fortnite app submission until after the Ninth Circuit
7 rules on [Apple’s] pending request for a partial stay of the [Contempt Order]” Defendant and
8 Counterclaimant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) is in violation of this Court’s Injunction permanently
9 restraining and enjoining it from “prohibiting developers from . . . including in their apps and
10 their metadata buttons, external links, or other calls to action that direct customers to alternative
11 purchasing methods, in addition to In-App Purchase” (Dkt. 813 (the “Injunction”)) and this
12 Court’s April 30, 2025 Order enforcing the Injunction (Dkt. 1508).

13 2. To prevent Apple’s violation and as a sanction, Apple shall promptly
14 review any submission of *Fortnite* made by Epic to the U.S. storefront of the App Store and shall
15 accept any version of *Fortnite* that complies with the applicable App Review Guidelines.

16 3. This Order will take effect immediately.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 DATED: _____, 2025
20
21

22 The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
23 United States District Court Judge
24
25
26
27
28